NR
ML

G R. S 58]
Previous references

2.48
+v.

File No 270-11

1910.

Serial Nos 1 & 2

(Municipal)

Later references
Ml 221-148 1-3 B Aug 1916
Part 2 & 3 of Mys Gazette. 64 & 88

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

✓

Serial Nos 1 & 2

Part B for June, 1911

SUBJECT

Erection of public fountains in the Mysore City.

1 From the Presidt, Mysore City Municl Council, no 95 & 19 1.11 States that the Ex Engr, Water Supply & Stores Division, declined to sanction estimates for erecting public fountains on the ground that the construction of such fountains is not a legitimate charge against the Public Works grant under Ex P no 4623-5 | Mdl 42-07 -31 & 30 11 10 and solicits orders in the matter

2 To Do, Complies & the Engr, no 9967-9 & 16 11 Directs that, until further orders, all charges connected with Vanivilas water work whether debitable to capital or maintenance, be borne by Govt, the liability of the Mysore City Municipality on account of the City Water Supply being limited to the [illegible]

by the water rate and the excess water charges and requests the Govt to submit definite proposals to place the working and maintenance of the water supply system on a commercial basis.

Yours faithfully,

G.R.S. 11] 1910

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Municipal)

NOTES.

270/10

File No

File Heading.

Erection of public fountains in the Mysore City

From The President of the Mysore City Municipal Council

No. 95 Dated 19/1/1911 Received 28/1/1911

Diary No. 490 | Enclos 1 | Spare Copies | Serial No. 1

Brief Abstract of Letter.

States that the Ex Engr, Water Supply & Sewerage Division, declined to sanction estimate for erecting public fountains on the ground that the construction of such fountains is not a legitimate charge against the Public Works Fund under G.O. No 4623-5 Mul 421-07-31 dated 30.11.10 and solicits orders in the matter

NOTES AND ORDERS

2 Referring to Resolution (6), referred to in para 1 of G.O. No 4623-5 Mul 421-07 dated 31 & 30 11 1910 the President says that the cost of erecting public fountains should be met by Govt. But the

Notes—First sheet

GPB—15,000—24-4-09

G. R. [illegible]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Sel File No. 270 of 1910

Serial No.

the Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Stores Division states that the Govt have sanctioned only the maintenance and repair charges of the Vani Vilas Water Works being met from the public works grant and that items of original construction, improvements or extension to the Water Works which have the effect of increasing the capital cost in order of the works are not covered by the orders in question.

Submitted for orders.

HMK 8/11/10

1 2.11.10 2 The point for consideration is who should bear the cost of erecting new public fountains, the municipality or the Govt.

3 As pointed out by the Executive Engineer, what the orders of the 30th Nov: 1910 denote is that the entire maintenance and repair charges of the Water works be met by Govt. It is silent as regards the cost of original construction, improvements or extensions to the water works.

4 The amount of 20,000 rupees [illegible]

Note— Contd. above.

[illegible]

[... 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ml File No. 270 of 1910 907

Serial No.

the water rate is intended to meet two kinds of charges, (1) the annual maintenance charge and (2) the charge for the repayment with interest of the capital outlay on the works in such number of years as may be fixed by Govt. As the amount collected from the water rate is paid to Govt, prima facie, it is the Govt which has to meet the cost of laying out new pipes &c within the Municipality. A clear ruling seems necessary.

Secy) 4-2-11 Mle

5. The whole of the capital cost of the Vani Vilas waterworks has been borne by Govt. I suppose this includes the expenditure on laying pipes, erecting fountains etc in the City - If so it seems reasonable that Govt should bear the cost of additional fountains, extensions of pipe lines etc. It may be ascertained whether this is not the practice in Bangalore.

6. There should however be some check on the municipality making all sorts of indents for the extension of water facilities - Are there any such checks in Bangalore? Please ascertain.

Mle 2-11 4/2/11

Note— Contd sheet

GPS—50,000—1910

G R S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Ind File No 270 of 1910

Serial No

7 The Chief Engineer may perhaps be consulted unofficially
A.K.S.D. 10/2

ms-82 / 2-11

9 2-1911 8 Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for kindly by whom the cost of laying out new pipes and erecting fresh fountains &c is met in the Bangalore City and what checks, if any, are provided in regard to by the Municipality for extension of pipe line &c and for favour of opinion as regards the proposal of the President of the Mysore City Municipal Council that the Govt do bear all charges of original constructions, improvements and extensions in connection with the water supply to the Mysore City

H Wigley
10 2 1911. for Secy,

W.
2 [illegible] 22 (Ord)
8/ 11-2-1911

9 All charges connected with the Water Supply in Bangalore City, are debitable to Capital & maintenance

Fol 4/5

NOTE - Contn sheet 11211

GPS—50 000—12 8 10

Serial No.

so borne by Government and the liability of the Municipality is limited to a contribution of Rs 39,000 a year towards the maintenance charges

2. There are no definite rules laid down to check requisitions from the Municipality for execution of pipe lines, etc, but each case is dealt with by this Department on its merits

3 The procedure in force in Bangalore City may also be followed in Mysore City for the present The annual contributions to be paid by the respective Municipalities will of course remain as they are

4 The entire capital cost of both the water supply systems up to date has been charged to the Provincial Fund

5 The financial liabilities of Government are indefinite and, on account of the past attitude of the Government, the Municipalities feel no responsibility in the matter.

6 It seems desirable to place the momentary relations with the

G R S 2]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Mel File No 270 of 19 10

Serial No

Municipalities on a commercial basis. Government may continue the concessions already granted but the extent of each concession should be expressed in its money value and shown in the accounts from year to year. The Water Supply Department will then be able to maintain accounts on commercial lines. This will act as a useful check and help to keep both demands and concessions within reasonable limits.

7 The Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Stores Division, has been instructed to collect information on these points and if Government entertain a wish to place the working and maintenance of the water supply schemes on a commercial basis, effect will be given as soon as possible.

Recommended
Chief Engineer of Mysore

Camp Mysore
17th March 1911

Note—Contin sheet

GPS—50 00 —12-8 10

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Rb File No 270 of 1910

Serial No

10 File resubmitted with the Chief Engineer's opinion The points for orders are -

(1) whether the practice obtaining in the Bangalore City, viz that of debiting the entire Cost of original works connected with the Water works and distribution to State Funds may be sanctioned in the case of the Kolar water works also, and

(2) whether the working and maintenance of the water supply schemes may be placed on a commercial basis as suggested by the Chief Engineer

MAS(50)org 27/3/1911

1 may be sanctioned

2 The Chief Engineer may be requested to submit proposals on the matter.

Please put up draft

30 3 11

12 Draft order submitted -

MAS(50)org 6/4/11

13 Draft revised as per verbal instructions of the Assistt. Secretary, and submitted

MAS(50)org 12/4/11

NOTE—Contin sheet

GPB—50 00 13-8-10

H. S. 13
General and Revenue Secretariat

Mk File No. 270 of 1910

Serial No.	
	Mk Draft submitted for approval 12 Cr) 13 4-11 .. Mk
	Is may be approved MK 18/4/11 Dewan
2713 18-4-11	I should be desirable to stipulate that proposals made by this Municipality for the erection of addl fountains, extension of pipe line &c shd receive the sanction of Govt in the General Debt MK 18/4/11
11152 19-4-11	Draft approved in accordance with the proposals of the Mayor MK 19/4/11
	Issue MK 27/5/11
2	To The President Mysore City Mal Council No. 9967-9 dt 1.6.11 Directs that under further orders all Charges connected with the Vani

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—11/10—27-4-10

G R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rel File No. 220 of 1910

Serial No.	
	Vilas Water works, whether debitable to Capital or maintenance, to be borne by Govt., the liability of the Surat City Municipality on account of the City Water Supply being limited to the amount realized by the water rate and excess water charges as fixed in para 3 of the Govt Proc. No. 4623-5 Rel 421-07-31 Dt 30th Nov 1910 and calls for definite proposals from the Chief Engineer to place the working and maintenance of the Water Supply systems on a commercial basis.

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—33,000—27-4-10

1917

Secl

From

The President, City Muni
Council, Mysore

To

The Secy to Govt of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev Depts

Dated 19th
Despatched 27th } January 17

Received

General No 95
No

Enclosure No One

Requesting to obtain very early sanction of Government about the Construction of public fountains.

GIPM.—12-13-1916—GES

No 9.5

THE CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE, MYSORE,
Dated 19th January 1911

ml 270-10

From

M.Kantaraj Urs, Esq , B.A.
President, City Municipal
Council, Mysore

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts

SIR,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 5/M1 421-07-31 dated 30th November last, passing orders in the matter of the maintenance charges of the Vani Vilas Water Wor and the extent of the Mysore City Municipality's liability th to, I have the honour to submit herewith for orders of Gove ment copy of letter No 3253 dated 22nd December 1910, from the Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Stores Division, Bangalor declining to sanction estimates for erecting public fountains the ground that the construction of such fountains is not a legitimate charge against the Public Works grant

2 It will be seen from resolution 6 of the Commi tee's meeting held on the 14th October 1909 copy of which was submitted to Government with this office letter No 53 of 19th October 1909 that Government have to bear all the construction and maintenance charges including royalty for electric power supplied and all other charges of every description, inasmuch a the entire income derived from the water tax and excess water —

water charges is credited to Government

3. In these circumstances, I request that you will be so good as to obtain the very early orders of Government -- since applications for the erection of new public fountains in the different parts of the City have been pending disposal for a long time.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Vice-President

R.V.R

Copy of letter No 3253 dated 22nd December 1910 from the Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Stores Division, Bangalore, to the Vice President, Mysore City Municipality, Mysore

---

I have the honour to inform you that the marginally noted 4 estimates for erection of additional fountains sent by you to the Superintendent Vani Vilas Water Works, with your No 293 of the 10th Instt have in turn been submitted to me for disposal You seem to think that, under G O No 4623-5/M1 421-07-31 dated 30th November 1910, these works should form legitimate charges against the Public Works grant on a careful perusal of the Govt order I find that the Government have --- sanctioned only the maintenance and repair charges of the Vani Vilas Water Works being met from the P W grants and not items of original construction improvements or extensions to the water works which have the effect of increasing the capital cost of the water works Unless the liability of the P W Department the latter class of charges is also decided by Government, the estimates referred to cannot be sanctioned I therefore request you to be so good as to address the Govt and ascertain from as to how they propose dealing with charges regarding construction, improvements and extensions to the water works and ite which have the effect of enhancing the capital cost of the w

(1) Estimate for erecting a C I fountain in Weavers Lines Rs 2C2

(2) Estimate for laying 2½" C I main from Mysore Monontody Road to feed Chetnahally Extension in Mysore Rs 1,449

(3) Estimate for erecting a Public fountain in Bazaar street Rs 82/-

(4) Estimate for erecting a single tap fountain in Weavers Lines Rs 159/-

water works generally

M. G. Krishnaswami Rao

Vice-President

R V.R

GRS 28]

File No 270-00
Serial No 2

Diary No of letter replied to 2907

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Mis.)

Bg, the 12 4 1911

Date of issue 16 11

Signed by MRM R

READ— Letter No. 95 dated the 6th January 1911 from the President, Mysore City Municipal Council, stating that the Executive Engineer waters Public, and Steet Division has declined to sanction estimates for erecting additional public fountains in the City on the ground that the Construction of

ORDER THEREON— No.

SUBJECT

61 B—10 CGO 1 J 10

of such formation is not a legitimate charge against the Public Works grant under G.O No. $\frac{4623-5}{ML21-07-3}$ dated the 30th November 1910 and prohibiting orders in the matter

---

Order thereon 9967-gml270-10-2 31.6.11

The Executive Engr. Works Duffly and Stores Dn, Contends that the aforesaid Govt order of November 1910 sanctions only the maintenance and repair charges of the Vanivilas Water Works being met by Government and not also charges on account of original construction, improvements or extensions to the water works which have the effect of increasing the Capital Cost of the works Both in the Bangalore City, all Charges

Connected

G.R.S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Connected with the Water supply, whether debitable to Capital or Maintenance, are borne by Government and the liability of the Municipality is limited to a fixed annual contribution towards the Maintenance Charges. Regard being had to this as also to the fact that the entire Capital Cost of both the Water supply systems up to date has been Charged to Provincial Funds, Government consider that the procedure in force in the Bangalore City may also be followed in the Improvement City for the present, the Annual Contributions to be paid by the Improvement Municipality remaining unaltered, and direct that, until further orders, all Charges Connected with the

Vani Vilas Waters Works whether debitable to Capital or Maintenance be borne by Government, the safety of the Mysore City Municipality being limited to the amount realized by the water rate and the excess water charges as fixed in para 3 of the said Govt orders

2) Govt with be glad to receive definite proposals from the Chief Engineer as requested in his suggestions to place the working and Maintenance of the water supply systems on a commercial basis.

On account of City water supply

At the same time it can be observed that in the absence of definite rules & check against - - - Municipal Councils of the members of the Legislature may in each case be dealt with by the Govt on its merits, and the financial liability of Govt are indefinite and municipal councils have no incentive & a sense of responsibility in the matter - this

25 May

1/24/11

18/4/11

To Secy to Govt

I, The Presidg Mysore City Municipal Council
Comptroller
Chief Engineer

B.L 230-17

G.R.S 13]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Z

y

Subd.
The order in this
is of sufficient scope
to be provided. The
previous order referred
therein has been from
this order is in ex
the previous one.
are therefore not
getting it provided
Initialed
25/9/13 Sd
What is the objection
to item 2
Sd
25/9
3 Good for approval
& not for placing of
Indent, after Proc
Initialed
25/9/13 Sd

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPI—20,000